

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/086,816 02/28/2002 |      | ING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|------|------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
|   |      | 2/28/2002  | Tomas Cihlar            | 240.1PCD            | 3270             |  |
| 25000   | 7590 | 07/28/2003 |                         |                     |                  |  |
| GILEAD S  |      | INC        | EXAMINER                |                     |                  |  |
| 333 LAKESIDE DR<br>FOSTER CITY, CA 94404          |      |            |                         | CHEN, SHIN LIN      |                  |  |
|   |      |            |                         | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|   |      |            |                         | 1632                | 8                |  |
|   |      |            | DATE MAILED: 07/28/2003 |                     |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · - ·                            |   | Application No   |   | Applicant(s)   |  |
|----------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
|                                  | <b>A 20</b>   | 10/086,816   |   | CIHLAR, TOMAS  |  |
|                                  | Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | V 3700  | Art Unit   |  |
|                                  |   | Shin-Lin Chen  |   | 1632   |  |
| Period fo                        | The MAILING DATE of this communicati<br>r Reply   | on appears on the cove   | r sheet with the c  | orrespondence address  |  |
| Failur - Any r                   | DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be eply received by the Office later than three months after the d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how tion.  s, a reply within the statutory mid period will apply and will expire y statute. cause the application. | rever, may a reply be tim<br>nimum of thirty (30) day:<br>SIX (6) MONTHS from | nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. |  |
| 1)                               | Responsive to communication(s) filed o  | n  |   |  |  |
| 2a)                              |   | This action is non-f   | inal.   |  |  |
| 3) Dispositi                     | Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice upon of Claims  | allowance except for f   | ormal matters, pr   | osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.                                       |  |
| 4)🖂                              | Claim(s) 1-14,17 and 18 is/are pending  | in the application.  |   |  |  |
| 4                                | a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi  | thdrawn from consider  | ation.  | •  |  |
| 5)                               | Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |   |  |  |
| 6)□                              | Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |  |   |  |  |
| 7)                               | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |   |  |  |
| 8)⊠                              | Claim(s) <u>1-14, 17 and 18</u> are subject to r  | estriction and/or election   | on requirement.   |  |  |
|                                  | on Papers   |  | ·   |  |  |
| 9)[] 7                           | he specification is objected to by the Exa  | aminer.  |   |  |  |
| 10)□ Т                           | he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)   | accepted or b) object  | ed to by the Exan   | niner.   |  |
|                                  | Applicant may not request that any objection  | n to the drawing(s) be he  | ld in abeyance. Se  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |
| 11)[ T                           | he proposed drawing correction filed on   | is: a)∏ approv   | ed b)⊡ disappro   | ved by the Examiner.   |  |
|                                  | If approved, corrected drawings are required  | d in reply to this Office ac   | tion.   |  |  |
| 12) <u> </u>                     | he oath or declaration is objected to by t  | he Examiner.   |   |  |  |
| Priority u                       | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |   |  |  |
| 13) 🗌 .                          | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f   | oreign priority under 3  | 5 U.S.C. § 119(a)   | -(d) or (f).   |  |
| a)[                              | ]All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  |  |   |  |  |
|                                  | 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docu   | ments have been rece   | ived.   |  |  |
| :                                | 2. Certified copies of the priority docu  | ments have been rece   | ived in Application   | n No   |  |
|                                  | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation for the attached detailed Office action for   | e priority documents ha  | ave been received   | d in this National Stage   |  |
|                                  | cknowledgment is made of a claim for do   |  |   |  |  |
| a)                               | ☐ The translation of the foreign language the control of the foreign language that the control of the foreign language that the control of t | ge provisional applicati   | on has been rece  | eived.   |  |
| Attachment(                      |   | <del>-</del>   |   |  |  |
| 2)  Notice 3) Inform             | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N  | 4)   | Interview Summary<br>Notice of Informal Pa<br>Other:                          | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)                                |  |
| S. Patent and Tra<br>TO-326 (Rev | ****  | ice Action Summary   | F   | Part of Paper No. 8  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/086,816

Art Unit: 1632

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims I-7 and 10, drawn to an isolated nucleic acid comprising an hOAT sequence, such as SEQ ID No. 1, a vector comprising said nucleic acid, and a recombinant cell comprising said vector, classifiable in classes 536 and 435, subclasses 23.5 and 320.1, respectively.

Page 2

- II. Claims 8 and 9, drawn to an isolated hOAT polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- III. Claim 11, drawn to a transgenic animal expressing hOAT, classified in class 800, subclass 13.
- IV. Claims 12, 17 and 18, drawn to a method for screening candidate hOAT agonist or antagonist by contacting the candidate with hOAT nucleic acid and detect the effect on hOAT nucleic acid expression, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- V. Claims 12, 17 and 18, drawn to a method for screening candidate hOAT agonist or antagonist by contacting the candidate with hOAT polypeptide and detect the effect on hOAT polypeptide biological activity, classified in class 435, subclass 4.
- VI. Claim 13, drawn to a method of identifying one or more allele and/or isoform of hOAT polypeptide sequence in individual humans, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- VII. Claim 14, drawn to a method of identifying nucleic acid variation in hOAT coding sequence in individual humans, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- 2. Claims 12, 17 and 18 link(s) inventions IV and V. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 12, 17 and 18.

Art Unit: 1632

Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Groups I-III are distinct from each other because they are drawn to different compositions having different chemical structure, physical properties and biological functions, and requiring separate search: nucleic acids, polypeptides and transgenic animals. Search for nucleic acids does not require search for polypeptides and transgenic animals, and search for polypeptides does not require search for nucleic acids and transgenic animals and so forth. Since the classification for each is different, the search for each group would not be coextensive. Therefore, they are patentably distinct from each other. Similarly, group III is patentably distinct from groups IV-VIII for the same reasons.

Groups IV and V are distinct from each other because they are drawn to distinct methods which differ at least in objectives, method steps, reagents and/or dosages used, schedules used, response variables, and criteria for success. A method of detecting hOAT nucleic acid

Page 4

expression and a method of detecting hOAT polypeptide biological activity are drawn to different scientific considerations and they differ in objectives, method steps, reagents and/or dosages used, schedules used, response variables, and criteria for success. Thus, groups IV and V are patentably distinct from each other. Similarly, groups VI and VII are distinct from each other for the same reasons.

Groups IV-V and groups VI-VII are distinct from each other because they are drawn to distinct methods which differ at least in objectives, method steps, reagents and/or dosages used, schedules used, response variables, and criteria for success. Since they have different classifications, the search for each group would not be coextensive. Therefore, they are patentably distinct from each other.

Inventions I-II and IV-VII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the nucleic acids can be used to produce recombinant polypeptides or used as a probe for hybridization. The polypeptide can be used to produce antibodies and purification of said antibodies. They have different classifications and require separate search. Thus, groups I-II are patentably distinct from groups IV-VII.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1632

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shin-Lin Chen whose telephone number is (703) 305-1678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Reynolds can be reached on (703) 305-4051. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

5 When

Shin-Lin Chen, Ph.D.